EXHIBIT "A"

AMENDED

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (Aviso a Acusado)

FACILIC GAS And Electric Company. Pauley Construction, Inc.. Traffic Solutions, Inc., Adelphia Telecommunications Co., Inc., SBC Telecommunications, Inc., Mobile Tool International, Inc., dbs Teleta, County of Mendocano, State of California, Pacific Bell Telephone Company, Pacific Telesis Group, 880 Operations. Inc. and Does 1 to 50

FOR COURT USE ONLY

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(A Ud. le está demandando) Sifa Tulaki and Lupe Tulaki

YOU have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a typewritten Lesbouse at mis contr

A letter or phone call will not protect you; your typewritten response must be in proper legal form If you want the court to hear your case.

If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case, and your wages, money and property may be taken without further warning from the court

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an anomay right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may call an attorney referral service or a legal aid office (listed in the phone

Después de que le entreguen esta chación Judicial ustad Vene un plazo de 30 DIAS CALENDARIOS pera presentar una respuesta escrita a máquina en esta corte.

Una carra o una llamada velefónica no lo ofracara protección, su respuesta escrita a maquina sene que cumplir con las formatidades legales apropiadas si usted quiere que la corte escuche su caso.

Si usted no presenta su respuesta a Vempo, puede perder el casa, y le pueden quitar su salaño, su dinero y otras cosasde su propiedad sin aviso adicional por parte de la

Existen otras requisitos legalos. Puede que usted quiera llamar a un etragado inmediatomento. Si no conoce a un abogada, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia de apodadoz o a nua oficina de ayuda jegal (vez el directorio telefánica).

The name and address of the court is: (E) nombre y direction de la corte es) SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

400 MCALLISTER STREET

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

CASE MUNDER (NUMBER) ON CASO CGC-03-419761

SAN FRANCISCO,	wast wanted an attomby, is:	when shortand ASI
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs (El nombre, le dirección y el número de telefano del abod TIMOTHY G. TIETJEN, SB 104975 TIMOTHY G. TIETJEN & ZANOBINI	anomey, or plainth without the demandance que no estado del demandante, o del demandante que no estado del demandante que estado del demandante del del del del del del del del del de) Vena abogato. 4-) 38 - 8169
TIMOTHY G. TIETJEN, & ZANOBINI ROUDA, FEDER, TIETJEN & ZANOBINI 44 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE &000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104	CORROLI DADK U	
	Clerk, Dy	Dep (Daket
DATE: SEP 5 - 2003	(Actuaria) Cristina Ba	utista

5,MAY 2 ***			المتمد	Laute 1	Medago) DebnA
DATE:	SEP 5 - 2003	Cierk, DyCris	tina Ba		DAGG
(Fechal	THE PARTY OF THE P	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED.	46.Ju		
18EMI	ENOR LUNING	1. as an individual defandant 2. as the person sued under the flexitious name of (specify):	o De c	ommunic	actions
38		9. On benall of (specify): MOLLERY A		CCP 415.60 (minor))
COUL		under. CCP 416.10 (corporation)		CCP 416.70 (conse CCP 416.90 (individ	LAGIMA
	SAN FRANCE	CCP 416.40 (association of parking)			
		4. by personal delivery on (cate): (See reverse for Freet of Service)	Solu	ions /	10
		CON ISAGER IN LINE		·	5 1026

9/5/024

	The second of th	,	982.1(1)
, •	P. Company of the Com	I	FOR DOURT USE DNLY
	CUT ATTOCKEY (NAME IL. AT RANDEL AND BOXTOSA)! TIETJEN & ZANOBINI	ľ	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WIT	TETJEN, SB 104975		
TIMOINI	IETJEN, SB 1040 TIETJEN & ZANOBINI STREET, SUITE 4000	ļ	
ROUDA, FEDERAL ROUDA, MONTGOMER	Y STREET, SUITE 4000		
GAN EDANCISC			ENDORSED
SAW FIGURE	#75/398-5398 FAXMO.(COMMINI 415/398-8169		FILED
- COMPAND	415/398-5398 FM MO. (CONDINA) 415/398-0225	San Frai	olate County Suparior Caun
		4	ara = 4888
E-MAIL ADDRESS (GLEDNA)	PLAINTIFFS COUPT		SEP 5 - 2003
ATTORNEY FURTHER	PLAINTIFFS SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT SAN FRANCISCO STREET		
WHE OF COURT	400 MCALLISTER STREET	DOD	BAN PARK-I.I. Clark
		Drue B	BON PARK-LL Clerk
MALING ADDRESS	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102	PT,	Dapaty Carb
CITY AND ZIF CODE	SAN FRANCISCO	-	
BRANCH NAME.	SIPA TUIAKI, and LUPE TUTAKI		
PLANTIFF:	SIPA TUIARI, and age-		
			1
	PIECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (SEE		
DEFENDANT:	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (SEE for additional defendants)	1	
ATTACHMENT	for additional neromanna.		
ł		_	
DOES 1 TO	100		
	Death Death Damage, Wrongful Death		
COMPLAINT -	Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death	1 :	ļ
X AMENUE	h (Mantiper): + +	1 1	
Tune Ishack 3/1 Ut	it apply):		
MOTOR VE			
Proper			
Carre	by Damage X Other Damages (specify): LOSS OF		
T Person	la lida.1	-	
CONSORTIUM			INGED.
Jurisdiction (che	k ali mat abbia):	CASE N	i
ACTION IS	A LIMITED CIVIL CALLE	CGC-	03-419761
Amount do	nanded does not exceed \$10,000 exceed \$25,000		1
Mildelia	exceeds \$10,000, but does (1)		
X ACTION IS	AN UNLIMITED CIVIL GASE (exceeds \$25,000)	1 1	<u> </u>
ACTION IS	RECLASSIFIED BY HIS ATTRIBUTE CONT.		
fram	imited to unitmisso		
		i	
The state of the s	(me) SIFA TUIAKI, and LUFE INTERNAL		
1. PLAINTIFF III	i of action against DEFENDANT (name): See Attachment 2	4.0	
SUPPLE CAUSE	i of action against DEFENDANT (name): See Attachment 2 Including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of pages Including attachments and exhibits.	3: 12 -	observal.
		ļ	
e, main productiff t	amed above is a competent adult	į	
		į	
	corporation qualified to do business in California		
\''	in unincorporated entity (describe):	ļ	
(2)	U numerika and the second in t		
[3]	public entity (describe):		hamlann
(4)	injust an adult somewater of the estate or a guardian ad limin ha	as dean	photoc
	Torumom a quardian of conselvant of air octor	j	
	Tot within a Barran		
(a)	other (specify):	į	
(a)	other (specify):		
(a)	other (specify):		
(a) (b) (5) (5) (6)	other (specify): ther (specify):		
(a) (b) (5) (5) (6)	other (specify): ther (specify):		
(a) (b) (c) (5) (d) exce	other (specify): ther (specify): prepaintiff (name). containing to do business in California		
(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d	other (specify): pt plaintiff (neme). corporation qualified to do business in California or purincorporated entity (describe):		
(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d	other (specify): pre plaintiff (neme). corporation qualified to do business in California un unincorporated entity (describe):		
(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d	other (specify): pre plaintiff (neme). corporation qualified to do business in California un unincorporated entity (describe):		ppointed
(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (e) (d) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e	other (specify): pre plaintiff (neme). corporation qualified to do business in California un unincorporated entity (describe):		ppointed
(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d	other (specify): put plaintiff (neme). a corporation qualified to do business in California a unincorporated entity (describe): a public entity (describe): a minor an abult for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem hi		ppointed
(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (e) (d) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e	other (specify): other (specify): ox plaintiff (neme). corporation qualified to do business in California or unincorporated entity (describe): public entity (describe): an abult for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem hi other (specify):		appointed
(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e	other (specify): other (specify): ox plaintiff (neme). corporation qualified to do business in California or unincorporated entity (describe): public entity (describe): an abult for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem hi other (specify):	as been	
(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e	other (specify): other (specify): ox plaintiff (neme). corporation qualified to do business in California or unincorporated entity (describe): public entity (describe): an abult for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem hi other (specify):	as been	
(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e	other (specify): other (specify): ox plaintiff (neme). corporation qualified to do business in California or unincorporated entity (describe): public entity (describe): an abult for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem hi other (specify):	as been	

Document 29-2 CASE MUNICIPA V. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC SHORT TITLE TUTAKI: BE al. CGC-03-419761 COMPANY. et al. 10. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each complaint niust have one or more causes of action atteched): Motor Venida General Negligence Internuonal Torr Products Liability Promises Liability Omer (specify): 11. Plaindff has suffered wags loss a. X loss of use of property nospital and madical expenses general damage ргоропу фатаве g. X owner damage (specify): Loss of Consortium as to Lupe Tuiaki, emotional distress, prejudgment interest, costs and such other relief as awarded by the court. The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plainoff to the deceased are 12. [listed in Complaint - Attachment 12. as follows: 13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court. 14 PLAINTIFF PRAYS for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for a. (1) X compansatory damages punitive damages p. The amount of damages is (you must check (1) in cases for personal injury or wrongful death): according to proof (1) in the amount of: \$ 15. The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and pelief are as follows (specify paragraph numbers): Date: September 3, 2003 TIMOTHY G. Page 3 of 3

COMPLAINT — Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death

10-08	-2003 Case 4:07-CY-02257 CW Document 29-2 Filed 06/07/2007	Page 6 of 13
ada aba ba Mijir	E NUMBER	SZI ZIN
SHO	RT TILE: TUIAKA, et al. v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC . ENUMBER MPANY, et al.	
- 20	THE TAIL (AUMORI):	(Add pages as required)
1	(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Country	ADELPHIA.
2	DEPENDANTS: PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC., TRAFFIC SOLUTIONS, INC., NOT TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO., INC., SEC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, STATE COUNTY OF MENDOCINO, STATE COUNTY OF MENDOCINO, STATE COUNTY OF MENDOCINO, SEC OF	OBILE TOOL
3	DEPENDANTS: PAULEY CONSTRUCT. SHC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., INC., INC., INC., INC., SHC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.,	ERATIONS, INC.,
9	PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, FACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	•	,
71		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		i
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		and made indef
26	(If the flem that this Amechment concerns is made under penalty of perjuty, all statements in this A	Agchmant are mode priosi
27	penalty of perjury.)	Col. Ruses or Court have 9
	ATTACHMENT	

Case 4:07-cv-02257-CW 12:08 FROM-ROYAL&SUNALLIANCE Filed 06/07/2007 Document 29-2 Page 7 of 13 +9252963844 T-820 P.009/015 F-637 mu-025 E NUMBER SHORT TITLE TUIAKI, et al. v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC CGC-03-419761 COMPANY, et al. Page 5_ of 12_ ATTACHMENT (Number): 2_ (Add pages as required) (This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.) 1 PLAINTIFF: SIFA TUIAKI, AND LUPE TUIAKI alleges causes of action against Dependants: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, PAULEY CONSTRUCTION, 2 INC. TRAFFIC SOLUTIONS. INC., ADELPHIA TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO., INC., SBC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., MOBILE TOOL INTERNATIONAL, INC., dog TELSTA, 3 COUNTY OF MENDOCINO. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, A PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP, SBC OPERATIONS, INC., and DOES I TO 100 5 6 7 8 9 70 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

(if the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penerty of penury.)

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

* * --- Parent 1994

CHORT TULE: CASEMI COMPANY, et al. CGC-	DER 03-419761
SHORT TITLE: TUIAKI, et al. v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. CGC- TUIAKI, et al. v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.	Page 6
ATTACHMENT TO Ex Complaint Cross-Complaint	, !

(Lise a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)

GN-1. Plaintiff (name): SIFA TUIAKI, and LUPE TUIAKI

alleges that defendent (name): PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, COUNTY OF MENDOCINO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA and

10 Does 1 10 10

was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendent negligently caused the darnage to plaintiff at (place): Highway 1, two miles north of Fort Bragg in Mendpelno County.

- On the above date, plaintiff sifa Tuinki was working for S.G. Barber (description of reasons for liability): Construction, Inc. installing fiber optic cable on a project in Mendocino county. In the process of performing this work, plaintiff and/or his equipment came in contact with a 12,000 volt electrical line. As a result of plaintiff's contact with the high voltage lines, plaintiff suffered catastrophic electrical burn injuries which resulted in the amputation of both arms, severe burn injuries about his body, and paraplegia.
- 2. Plaintiff contends that Pacific Gas and Electric Company, County of Mendocino, State of California and Does 1 to 10 negligently owned, constructed, inspected, repaired and maintained the above referenced electrical lines; negligently failed to keep the electrical lines clear of obstacles, other cable lines and trees; negligently failed to denergize the electrical lines or insulate them from the risk of contact by workers who were known by defendant to be working in close proximity to the electrical lines; and negligently failed to warn workers and plaintiff or safeguard them against the foreseeable risk of a worker coming into contact with the above referenced electrical lines. As a proximate cause of defendant's negligence, plaintiff suffered the severe injuries and damages described above.

SHORT TITLE:	TUIAKA, et al. v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	CASE NUMBER	
COMPANY, 6	:t al.	(QC-03-	112701
	CAUSE OF ACTION - Premises Liability		Page 7
SECOND	(r(100))	!	
ATTACHN	MENT TO Excemplaint Cross-Complaint		
•	arate cause of action form for each cause of action.)		
Prem.L-1.	Plaintiff (name): SIFA TUIAKA, and LUPE TUIAKI alleges the acts of defendants were the legal (proximate) cause of damages to On (dele): May 1, 2002 plaintiff was injured on the f	o plaindfi. Iollowing pr	emises in the following
	fashion (description of premises and circumstances of injury): Live power lines crossing Highway 1 several mile Fort Bragg in Mendocino County, California. Sa: improperly installed and maintained. Furthermo: hidden and obscured by foliage and shrubbery. The lines was not noted, or improperly noted on maps provided or prepared by defendants. The exister provided or prepared by defendants. The exister and the dangerous condition they represented were who had reasonable opportunity and means to elim to the incident in which plaintiff was electrocal	re, said he exist s of the nce of t re known minate	l lines were ence of said vicinity said power lines
Prem.L-2.	Count One-Negligence The defendants who negligently owned, in the described premises were (names): Pacific Gas & Electric Telesis Group, Pacific Bell Telephone Co., SEC Country of Mendocino, State of California [K] Does 1	naintained. :1c Compa Pelecomm	managed and operated my. Pacific inications, Inc.,
Prem.L-3.	Count Two-Willful Fallure to Warn [Civil Code section 846] To or maliciously fallies to guard or warn against a dangerous condition (names):	he defendan 1, use. sin	nt owners who willfully acture, or activity were
Prem.L-4.	Does to Plaintiff, a recreational user, was an invited guest a paying of Count Three-Dangerous Condition of Public Property The defe on which a dangerous condition existed were (names): Country of California	nitu annenu	owned public property ino and State of
	a. So The defendant public entity had actual	ic entity.	
	a. Allegations about Other Defendants The defendants who were other defendants and acted within the acope of the agency were (name adelphio Tolecommunications. Inc., Pacific Gas & Electric Clark, Pacific Televis Group, County of Mendocino, State of Does 21 to 30	California	c Telecommunications, , sBc Operations, Inc.
,	b. The defendants who are fiable to plaintiffs for other reasons and described in attachment Prem.L-5.b as follows (names):	the reason	s for their liability are
l		 Ta	9221 CCD 425 12

Filed 06/07/2007

Page 10 of 13

+9252963844

T-820 P.012/015 F-637

CASE NUMBER TUTAKI, et al. v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al CGC-03-419761 Page 8 CAUSE OF ACTION - General Negligence THIRD (respect) ATTACHMENT TO DO Complaint Cross-Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)

GN-1. Plaintif (namo): 5IFA TUIAKI, and LUPE TUIAKI

alleges that defendent (name): FAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC. ADELPHIA TELECONOMICATIONS CO., SEC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP, FACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, COUNTY OF MENDOCING, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, SEC OPERATIONS, INC.

X Does 31 10 40

was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plainliff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendent negligently caused this damage to plaintif." on (date): May 1, 2002 at (place): Highway 1, two miles north of Forc Bragg in Mendopino County.

(description of reasons for liability):

- 1. On the above date, plaintiff Sifa Tuiaki was working for S.G. Barber Construction. Inc. installing fiber optic cable on a project in Mendocino county. In the process of performing this work, plaintiff and/or his equipment came in contact with a 12,000 volt electrical line. As a result of plaintiff's contact with the high voltage lines, plaintiff suffered catastrophic electrical burn injuries which resulted in the amputation of both arms, severe burn injuries about his body, and paraplegia.
- Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that defendant Pauley Construction. Inc., and Does 31 through 40 negligently supervised, planned, managed, controlled, coordinated and directed the work being performed by plaintiff's employer, S.G. Barber, and plaintiff on this construction project. In particular, defendants negligently retained control over the safety precautions to be implemented to protect plaintiff and other construction workers from being injured on this project. Further, defendants failed to warn plaintiff and his coworkers of the work hazards associated with the installation of fiber optic cable in close proximity to high voltage electrical lines.
- 3. As a proximate cause of defendant's negligence, plaintiff suffered the severe injuries and damages described above.

Document 29-2

Filed 06/07/2007 +9252963844

Page 11 of 13 T-820 P.013/015 F-637

		•	•			
•		•			CASE NUM	GER
					al CGE-	3-419761
Ş	SHORT TITLE:		PACTETO GAS AND	ELECTRIC COMPANY, et	G. W	1
T	UIAKI, et al.	, V.	PMCT1 TO	Y 5.1	anco.	Page 9

CAUSE OF ACTION - General Negligence FOURTH

ATTACHMENT TO Ex Complaint Cross-Complaint

(Use a separate couse of action form for each couse of action.)

GN-1. Plainoff (name): SIFA TUIAKI, and LUPE TUIAKI

alleges that defendant (name): TRAFFIC SOLUTIONS, INC., and

☑ Does 41 10.50

was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant negligently caused the damage to plaintiff

at (place): Highway 1, two miles north of Fort Bragg in Mendecine County.

- 1. On the above date, plaintiff Sifa Tuiaki was working for S.G. Barber Construction, Inc. installing fiber optic cable on a project in Mendocino county. In the process of performing this work, plaintiff and/or his equipment came in contact with a 12,000 volt electrical line. As a result of plaintiff's contact with the high voltage lines, plaintiff suffered catastrophic electrical burn injuries which resulted in the ampuration of both arms, severe burn injuries about his body, and paraplegia.
- 2. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Traffic solutions, Inc. and Does 11 to 15 were hired to provide safety precautions and traffic control services for the construction project upon which plaintiff was working when injured. In particular, plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that defendants negligently failed to provide spotters, flagmen, and traffic control services and blensulious necessary to breasur construction equipment and/or workers from coming into contact with high voltage electrical
- 3. As a proximate cause of defendant's negligence, plaintiff suffered the lines. severe injuries and damages described above.

_ = -	2	
	TOTAL TO	CASE MANBER:
	TUIAKI. et al. v. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	CGC-03-419761
COMPANY, e		Page 10
	CAUSE OF ACTION - Products Liability	
FIFTH		
ATTACH	MENT TO Complaint Cross-Complaint	
V (1,100	arate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
(Us e a se p	APAC CADOS STANIA	i i
Plainoff (no	ame): SIFA TUIAKI, and LUPE TUIAKI	ed by me following product
Prod.L-1.	On or about (data): May 1, 2002 plaint was the A Telsta T400 Aerial Lift. Plaintiff Sifa To operating the described product when he and/operating the described product when he and/operating the high voltage powerline on High contact with a high voltage powerly.	or his equipment came in hay 1. Two miles north
	of Fort Brade and	an amount of the defects.
Prod.L-2.	of Fort Bragg in Memborras Each of the defendants knew the product would be purchased and used The product was defective when it left the control of each defendant. The	•
	was being	a launiuma a substantial panger not
	was being used in the manner intended by the defendants. It used in a manner that was reasonably foreseeable by defendants a used in a manner that was reasonably foreseeable by defendants a used in a manner that was reasonably foreseeable by defendants a used in a manner that was reasonably foreseeable by defendants as	
Prod.L-3.	Time and Mindella (Inc.)	38L til me binondi-
	purchaser of the product	mer (specify):
Pros.L-4.	FF'S INJURY WAS THE LEGAL (PROXIMATE) RESULT OF THE Count One-Strict liability of the following defendants who a. IX manufactured or assempled the product (names): dba Telsta	
	b. X Does 5] 10 55 b. X designed and manufactured component parts supplied to the Mobile Tool International, Inc., dba Te.	
	E. Solo the product to the public (names): Mobile Tool	Incernacional, Inc
	Does 51 to 65 Count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owed a count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who of the following defen	1
Prod.L-6	Does 66 to 70 Count Three-Breach of warranty by the following defendants (na Incernational, Inc., dba Teleta Ex Does 71 to 75	emes): Mobile Tool
Prod.L-7	a. X who breached an implied warranty b. X who breached an express warranty which was X written X oral The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other reasons and to listed in Attachment-Prod.L-7 as follows:	ne reasons for the liability are
	OLUBE DE ACTION - Products Lia	ibility [Legal copage)

PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: SIFA TUIAKI, BIID LUPE TUIAKI
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. CGC-03-419761

Arrachment to Complaint Continued

Page Il

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (LOSS of Consortium)

- 1. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein each and every allegation set forth above.
- 2. At all times relevant, plaintiff Lupe Tuiaki was and is the lawful spouse of plaintiff Sifa Tuiaki.
- 3. As a result of the conduct of defendants described above, plaintiff Sifa Tuiaki sustained injuries which would foreseeably endanger or destroy plaintiff Lupe Tuiaki's beneficial enjoyment of her marital relationship and consortium with plaintiff sifa Tuiaki.
- 4. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent conduct of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff Lupe Tuiaki has been deprived of the love. companionship, affection, society, sexual relations, and solace of plaintiff Sife Tuiaki and has suffered damages thereby.